

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/982,454 20995	10/17/2001 7590 05/23/2003	Kiyoshi Satoh	ASMJP.100AUS 7409	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			CROWELL, ANNA M	
ikvine, ca	92014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/982,454	SATOH ET AL.	
·	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Michelle Crowell	1763	
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amounted for reply one shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extendriginally set in the final Office action:	sion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	ially reducing or simplifying th	ıe
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendmer	ıt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	nuse it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7 and 9-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) annroyed or b) disappr	oved by the Evaminar	
		oved by the Examiner.	
		<u> </u>	
10. Other:	a colon	E de go	
ame	Luz L. A	1ejanaro	
٠,	Luz L. A Frimary Art Un	examer it 1763	
	7	<u> </u>	

Luz L. Alejardro Primary Examera Art Unit 1763

Continuati n She t (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: applicant raises the new issue of an "inner slanted side surface being slanted outward at an angle greater than the substrate-supporting surface and facing an outer edge of the substrate when loaded", and therefore further search and consideration are required to properly examine this limitation.

2